

with the University's mission and General Education Program, and desire to support junior faculty.

- b. Optimal size for groups is no larger than 6 (7 with facilitator).
5. As part of the annual review process, supervisors are expected to engage in regular face-to-face meetings with junior faculty to discuss effective teaching strategies and practices.

Ad Hoc Committee on Student Evaluations of Faculty Teaching

Committee

Appendix A: SET Literature Summary

For every complex problem there

- A more recent meta-analysis of nearly 100 multi-section studies indicates that SET /learning correlation is small ($r = 0.12$). When prior student ability is considered, the correlation is zero ($r = -0.06$). (Uttle, 2017)
- A 2016 study of 23,000 SET scores from 4,423 first year students in 1,177 sections in France found the correlation between SET and final exam scores to be $r = 0.04$. Of note, SET were compulsory, so the student response rate was nearly 100%, and the students had been unable to self-select into different sections. (Boring, 2016).

SET are consistent, in that evaluations for a given instructor positively correlate within the same course

Basow, S.A. and Martin, J.L. (2012) Bias in Student Evaluations. In: Kite, M.E., Ed., *Effective Evaluation of Teaching: A Guide for Faculty and Administrators*, Society for the Teaching of Psychology, Washington DC, 40-49.

Boring, A., Ottoboni, K., & Stark, P. S. (2016). Student evaluations of teaching (mostly) do not measure teaching effectiveness. *ScienceOpen Research*, 0(0):1-11.
<http://doi.org/10.14293/S2199-1006.1.SOR-EDU.AETBZC.v1>

Braga, M., Paccagnella, M., & Pellizzari, M. Evaluating evaluations of professors. *Economics of Education Review*, 41, 71-88. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econedurev.2014.04.002>

Butcher, K.F., McEwan, P.J., & Weerapana, A. (2014). The effects of an anti-grade-inflation policy at Wellesley College. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 28(3), 189-204.

Carpenter, S. K., Witherby, (mis)judgments of learning and teaching effectiveness. *Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition*, 9(2), 137-151. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jarmac.2019.12.009>

Carrell, S.E. & West, J.E. Does professor quality matter? Evidence from random assignment of students to professors. *Journal of Political Economy*, 118(3), 409-432.
<https://doi.org/10.1086/653808>

Chávez, K., & Mitchell, K. M. W. (2020). Exploring bias in student evaluations: Gender, race, and ethnicity. *PS: Political Science & Politics*, 53(2), 270-274.
<http://doi.org/10.1017/S1049096519001744>

Chisadza, C., Nicholls, N., & Yitbarek, E. (2019). Race and gender biases in student evaluations of teachers. *Economics Letters*, 179, 66-71. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econlet.2019.03.022>

Chiu, Y. Chen, K., Hsu, Y., & Want, J. (2018). Understanding the perceived quality of teaching effectiveness in various m50.u6 Tm0 g0 G[(t)7(e)741 517. g000 G[(U)-6(nde)7(rs)-6h7(t)7(i)-q0.0000

Esarey, J., & Valdes, N. (2020) Unbiased, reliable, and valid student evaluations can still be unfair. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 45(8), 1106-1120.
<http://doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2020.1724875>

Goebel, B.

Youmans, R. J., & Jee, B. D. (2007). Fudging the numbers: Distributing chocolate influences student evaluations of an undergraduate course. *Teaching of Psychology*, 34(4), 245-247.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00986280701700318>

Yunker, P. J., & Yunker, J. A. (2003). Are Student Evaluations of Teaching Valid? Evidence From an Analytical Business Core Course. *Journal of Education for Business*, 78(6), 313-317.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/08832320309598619>

Appendix B: Alternatives to SET Literature Summary, SET Best Practices, and Recommendations


Alternatives to traditional student/course evaluations in evaluating faculty teaching

I. Mitigating Student Evaluation Influence

Studies concur on the importance of student evaluation. However, the evaluation of

II. Rethinking Faculty Evaluation Methods

Simonson, Earl, and Frary (2022) suggest that the evaluation of faculty teaching needs to involve methods that also make possible the assessment of student learning. They consider the complexity of **teaching and learning**, developed a four-element teaching effectiveness tool, shown in the following figure:



Source: Figure 2. Simonson, S. R., Earl, B., & Frary, M. (2022). Establishing a framework for assessing teaching effectiveness. *College Teaching*, 70(2), 164-180.

They too develop a rubric and suggest a comprehensive approach to the types of evidence that can be used to demonstrate student learning as well as other elements of teaching effectiveness. They suggest using **syllabi, course assignments, student work samples, and course design tables** to assess course design. They suggest teachers implement evidence-based practices to demonstrate scholarly teaching, which can be assessed using, for example, **peer evaluation, and class observation**. Similarly, **syllabi, course assignments, and peer observation** can be used to assess if the teaching uses a learner-centered approach. They also point out to use of, for example, **mid-term survey, and reflection on course evaluation** as evidence for continuous teaching improvement. A complete rubric is shown in Figure 3 in this research. Perhaps faculty handbook and department T & P policies should provide a more detailed list of suggested items for demonstrating teaching effectiveness to ensure that faculty consider including materials that speak to course design and learning.

Like Simonson, Earl, and Frary, several other researchers asserted that the demonstration and discussion of learning outcomes might provide more accurate information regarding a effectiveness. To this end, Anders proposed using **focus groups and role-play** to solicit more candid and detailed reflections from students about their learning in a course. Borch, Sandvoll, and Risor advocated a similar type of tool by suggesting that faculty collaborate with students to create “**dialogue-**

comments but the information users need to get trained when interpreting this information; 3) completing evaluations at multiple times throughout the semester to limit the negative effect of faulty memory by the end of semester.

Bibliography

- Anders, B. A. (2023, May). Using a Focus Group to Enhance Course Evaluation Inclusion and Feedback. In *Erasmus Scientific Days 2022 (ESD 2022)* (pp. 332-338). Atlantis Press.
- Berk, R. A. (2005). Survey of 12 strategies to measure teaching effectiveness. *International journal of teaching and learning in higher education*, 17(1), 48-62.
- Bork, I., Sandvoll, R. & Risor T. (2020). Discrepancies in purposes of student course evaluations: what does it mean to be *Educational Assessment, Evaluation and Accountability*, 32, 83-102. Carpenter, S. K., Witherby, A. E., & Tauber, S. K. (2020). On *Journal of Applied research in memory and cognition*, 9(2), 137-151.
- Golding, J.M. & Kraemer, P.J. Observations of Professors: Tread Lightly. *Inside Higher Education*. July 23 2015. Website.
- Hornstein, H. A. (2017). Student evaluations of teaching are an inadequate assessment tool for evaluating faculty performance. *Cogent Education*, 4(1), 1304016.
- Miller, J. E., & Seldin, P. (2014). Changing practices in faculty evaluation. *Academe*, 100(3), 35-38.
- Simonson, S. R., Earl, B., & Frary, M. (2022). Establishing a framework for assessing teaching effectiveness. *College Teaching*, 70(2), 164-180.
- Shao, L. P., Anderson, L. P., & Newsome, M. (2007). Evaluating teaching effectiveness: Where we are and where we should be. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 32(3), 355-371.
- K., Ahlering, R. F., & Brill, F. M. (2007). Toward a more comprehensive approach to evaluating teaching effectiveness: Supplementing student evaluations of

teaching with pre post learning measures. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 32(4), 403-415.

Appendix C: Best Practices – Teaching Portfolios

Washington State University:

[Teaching Portfolio | Office of the Provost | Washington State University \(wsu.edu\)](#)

Examples of Teaching Portfolios

[Examples of Teaching Portfolios | Faculty | Washington State University \(wsu.edu\)](#)

DePaul University – Teaching Commons

[Teaching Portfolios | Reflective Practice | Teaching Guides | Teaching Commons | DePaul University, Chicago](#)

Vanderbilt University

[Teaching Portfolios | Center for Teaching | Vanderbilt University](#)

Colorado State University (University of Dayton Teaching Portfolio Guidelines)

[University-of-Dayton-Teaching-Portfolio-Guide.pdf \(colostate.edu\)](#)

